

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/623,522	07/22/2003	Hiroshi Yamashita	240629US0	5821	
22850	7590 02/24/2005		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			GOODROW, JOHN L		
	SIREEI RIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1756		
				DATE MAIL CD- 022/42005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			#N			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	J			
	10/623,522	YAMASHITA ET AL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John L Goodrow	1756				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this con BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
<u> </u>	 s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		ters, prosecution as to the	merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under b	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1106 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1106 are subject to restrict to the subject to restrict the subject the subject the subject to restrict the subject the subject the subject the subject the subje	wn from consideration.	uirement.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya tion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFF	, ,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. Is have been received in A Inity documents have beer In (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National S	Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
2)	5) Notice of	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO- 	152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/623,522

Art Unit: 1756

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Claims 1-58, drawn to method of manufacturing a toner, classified in class
 430, subclass 137+.

Page 2

- II. Claims 59-66 & 99-106, drawn to toner and cartridge, classified in class430, subclass 108.1
- Claims 67-74 & 83-90, drawn to development method, classified in class 430, subclass 45*
- IV**. Claims 75-80 & 91-98, drawn to transfer method, classified in class 430, subclass 126 the inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as forming an electrostatic image on a dielectric surface.

3.

4. Inventions II and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different

process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product may not have the transfer step in the process of using the toner of II.

Page 3

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II-IV Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. A telephone call was made to Applicants attorney Mr. Scafetta on 2/23/05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143). Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at

Application/Control Number: 10/623,522 Page 4

Art Unit: 1756

least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John L Goodrow whose telephone number is 571-272-1384. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark F Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John L Goodrow
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1756
